

Reversible Luminescence Switching of a Redox-Active Ferrocene–Europium Dyad

Manuel Tropiano, Nathan L. Kilah, Michael Morten, Habibur Rahman, Jason J. Davis, Paul D. Beer, and Stephen Faulkner*

Chemistry Research Laboratory, University of Oxford, Mansfield Road, Oxford OX1 3TA, U.K.

S Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: The copper-catalyzed cycloaddition reaction between a propargyl-appended europium complex and azidomethylferrocene yields a d—f dyad whose photophysical properties can be reversibly switched by varying the oxidation state of the ferrocene chromophore.

S ensitized luminescence from lanthanide complexes has been of interest for many years¹ and has begun to be widely applied in bioassays and microscopy.^{2,3} The long-lived luminescence associated with luminescent lanthanide ions is readily separated from fluorescence and scattered light using time-gated techniques, meaning that very low concentrations of lanthanide probes can be observed in biological media.⁴ Within the past decade or so, a number of important breakthroughs have been made in the development of lanthanide complexes that respond to changes in the concentration of a range of analytes:⁵ these have been developed into ratiometric probes of ionic concentration,⁶ and some show considerable promise as clinical agents for the earlystage diagnosis of tumor markers.⁷

Early studies on luminescent lanthanide complexes focused on the development and utilization of europium and terbium complexes, which emit visible light, but over the past decade or so the approach has been extended to exploit the long-wavelength emission from lanthanides such as neodymium, ytterbium, and erbium.^{8–10} The low-lying emissive states of these ions can be populated using a much wider range of chromophores,¹¹ and much has been made of the use of transition metal complexes as antennae.¹²

We recently demonstrated that lanthanide complexes of propargyl DO3A (Ln.1) could be used as substrates for the copper-catalyzed 'click' reaction. We now report the use of this methodology to prepare a ferrocene-appended europium complex (Eu.2). Our synthetic strategy is shown in Scheme 1. Eu.1 was prepared as described in the literature.¹⁴ Reaction with azidomethylferrocene¹⁵ (3) in the presence of copper(I) yielded Eu.2. We subsequently demonstrate that the redox characteristics of the antennae can be utilized in the reversible, high-fidelity switching of luminescence from the lanthanide center (Figure 1). While ferrocene has been used as a sensitizer for lanthanides in the past,¹³ we believe that this is the first example in which its electrochemistry has been exploited as an emission switch.

The ¹H NMR spectrum of Eu.2 (Figure S4) shows sharp, wellresolved signals for the ligand protons close to the lanthanide center. This can be contrasted with the broad signals observed in the NMR spectrum of Eu.1 (Figure S5) and provides clear





Figure 1. Emission spectrum of Eu.2 in its reduced state (black line) and after oxidation (red line). Inset: diffusive cyclic voltammogram of Eu.2 (2 mM in 100 mM NaClO₄, 100 mVs⁻¹ at a Pt guaze working electrode).

evidence for the rigidification of the complex by coordination of one of the triazole nitrogen atoms to the europium center.

Figure S4 shows that the aqueous speciation of Eu.2 is dominated by a single isomer, though close inspection of the baseline reveals peaks corresponding to a minor isomer present in <5% abundance. For the major form, the shifts of the axial ring protons on the macrocycle are consistent with the adoption of monocapped square-antiprismatic geometry around the lanthanide center and are comparable with data in the literature for other europium complexes with similar geometries.¹⁶

 Received:
 April 4, 2011

 Published:
 July 15, 2011

Having established that this process provided an effective method for preparing a ferrocene-containing d-f hybrid complex, we also used the same methodology to prepare Yb.2 from Yb.1. The ¹H NMR spectra of Yb.2 and Yb.1 (Figures S6 and S7, respectively) revealed that these complexes behave very similarly to their europium-containing analogues.

Time-resolved luminescence measurements were used to define the inner-sphere solvation of the complexed lanthanide, using the equation

$$q_{Eu} = A_{Ln} (\tau_{H_2O}^{-1} - \tau_{D_2O}^{-1} - B_{Ln})$$
(1)

to define q, the number of bound water molecules, from the luminescence lifetimes in H₂O and D₂O ($\tau_{\rm H_2O}$ and $\tau_{\rm D_2O}$) measured in milliseconds ($A_{\rm Eu} = 1.2 \text{ ms}$, $B_{\rm Eu} = 0.25 \text{ ms}^{-1}$; $A_{\rm Yb} = 1.0 \,\mu s$, $B_{\rm Yb} = 0.1 \,\mu s^{-1}$).¹⁷ The observed temporal profiles of the luminescence of Eu.2 were fitted by iterative reconvolution with the detector response, to give lifetimes of 0.38 and 0.82 ms in H₂O and D₂O, respectively, following excitation at 340 nm. Applying eq 1 to these lifetimes gives $q_{\rm Eu} = 1.4$, suggesting that the monocapped square-antiprismatic form does indeed predominate. For the ytterbium complex, the observed lifetimes, 1.0 and 5.9 μ s in H₂O and D₂O, respectively, give $q_{\rm Yb} = 0.7$. This smaller value is consistent with the lanthanide contraction and the change in structure across the lanthanide series commonly observed as a consequence of decreasing ionic radius.

Though ferrocene is known to act as an efficient triplet and lanthanide excited-state quencher,¹⁸ this is likely to be efficient only at intermetallic separations where electron transfer is effective,¹⁹ and ferrocene derivatives have also been shown to sensitize emission from europium complexes.^{13a} Ferrocene also displays a well-known ligand-to-metal charge-transfer transition where the extinction coefficient is highly sensitive to the metal redox state.²⁰ We accordingly reasoned that this could be exploited to tune the luminescence from the lanthanide center.

Initial analyses with a 1:1 aqueous solution of ferrocene monocarboxylic acid and Eu.1 in 100 mM sodium perchlorate confirmed not only the ability of ferrocene illumination to sensitize Eu emission but also the ability of ferrocene-centered electrochemistry to reversibly switch the process (see Supporting Information). Since this assembly is not kinetically inert, analogous experiments with the dyad Eu.2 (Figure 1) were then carried out in a 10 mm path length quartz fluorimeter electrochemical cell (total solution volume $\sim 140 \,\mu$ L). Chronoamperometry was employed to cycle a Pt gauze working electrode between oxidative (0.6 V) and reductive (0 V) potentials for 30 and 40 min, respectively. Simultaneous luminescence analyses confirm an on/off switching with high fidelity (Figure 2).

Similarly reversible switching was observed in the case of Yb.2 (Figure 3). Oxidation of the sample in D₂O was found to be accompanied by a change in luminescence lifetime from 5.9 μ s for the reduced form to 4.4 μ s for the oxidized form. This suggests that the oxidized form of ferrocene sensitizes the formation of the ytterbium ${}^{2}F_{5/2}$ excited state, but that low-lying excited states of ferrocenium can act to quench this excited state once it has formed. The efficiency of such quenching (ϕ_{quench}) is given by

$$\phi_{auench} = 1 - \tau_{red} / \tau_{ox} \tag{2}$$

In this case, $\phi_{quench} = 0.25$. This is borne out closely by the ratio of the observed intensities of ytterbium-sensitized emission (Figure 3), suggesting that nonradiative quenching of the ytterbium excited



Figure 2. Reversible electrolytic switching of Eu.2 emission (2 mM solution) at a fine Pt mesh working electrode (Pt wire counter electrode, Ag/AgCl reference electrode, in 0.1 NaClO₄ electrolyte).²¹



Figure 3. Emission spectrum of Yb.2 after sequential oxidation and reduction under a 425 nm excitation light. Inset: diffusive cyclic voltammogram of Yb.2 (2 mM in 0.1 M NaClO₄, 100 mV s⁻¹, Pt gauze working electrode).

state is the dominant pathway for modulating the intensity of emission.

It is clear that electrolytic oxidation of the ferrocene chromophore results in a significant reduction of the intensity of the lanthanide-centered emission in both cases. Triplet energy measurements on Gd.2 at 77 K revealed that the phosphorescence spectrum of the ligand is dominated by a triazole-centered triplet state at high energy (\sim 23 000 cm⁻¹), while no ferrocenecentered phosphorescence could be detected.

While energy transfer in most lanthanide-containing systems has been shown to proceed via a triplet-mediated mechanism,^{1a} an alternative mechanism involving the generation of an intermediate chromophore-to-lanthanide charge transfer state has been shown to provide the dominant pathway for sensitization of ytterbium where it is feasible.²² In this case, inhibition of such a sequential double charge transfer mediated pathway upon oxidation might initially appear plausible for Yb.2. However, this pathway would not be expected to influence the behavior of Eu.2 in its oxidized form, since the intermediate state in such a system would be expected to be lower in energy than ⁵D₀. Thus, it is reasonable to conclude that, in both cases, quenching of the lanthanide by ferrocenium excited states is responsible for the observed switching; such a pathway is available to all lanthanide ions (provided that the quencher state is lower in energy than the lanthanide emissive state), suggesting that redox switching can be applied to modulating lanthanide luminescence in a wide variety of applications. This area clearly merits further investigation using a wider variety of redox-active chromophores and transient absorption studies.

In summary, the click reaction offers a facile synthetic route to access d-f hybrid complexes containing ferrocene, while the incorporation of a redox-active chromophore provides the means for highly reversible electrochemical switching of lanthanide emission. We are currently working on refining these systems so that they may be exploited as devices.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information. Experimental procedures and ¹H NMR spectra of the lanthanide complexes. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

Stephen.Faulkner@chem.ox.ac.uk

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The research leading to these results has received funding from the European Research Council under the European Union's seventh Framework Programme (FP7/2007–2013)/ERC-Grant Agreement Number 267426. We also thank the University of Oxford and EPSRC for support (studentships for M.T. and H. R.), and the Royal Commission for the Exhibition of 1851 (research fellowship for N.L.K.).

REFERENCES

(1) (a) Faulkner, S.; Natrajan, L. S.; Perry, W. S.; Sykes, D. Dalton Trans. 2009, 3890. (b) Bünzli, J.-C. G.; Piguet, C. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2005, 34, 1048.

(2) (a) Hemmilä, I. A. Applications of fluorescence in immunoassays; Wiley: New York/Chichester, 1991. (b) Bünzli, J.-C. G.; Comby, S.; Chauvin, A.-S.; Vandevyver, C. D. B. J Rare Earth 2007, 25, 257.

(3) Beeby, A.; Botchway, S.; Clarkson, I. M.; Faulkner, S.; Parker, A. W.; Parker, D.; Williams, J. J. Photochem. Photobiol. B 2000, 57, 83.

(4) Hemmila, I.; Mukkala, V. M. Crit. Rev. Clin. Lab. Sci. 2001, 38, 441.

(5) (a) Parker, D.; Dickins, R.; Puschmann, H.; Crossland, C.; Howard, J. *Chem. Rev.* **2002**, *102*, 1977. (b) Gunnlaugsson, T.; Leonard, J. P. *Chem. Commun.* **2005**, 3114. (c) Allain, C.; Faulkner, S. *Future Med. Chem.* **2010**, *2*, 339.

(6) Montgomery, C. P.; Murray, B. S.; New, E. J.; Pal, R.; Parker, D. Acc. Chem. Res. 2009, 42, 925.

(7) Pal, R.; Parker, D.; Costello, L. C. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2009, 7, 1525.

(8) (a) Beeby, A.; Faulkner, S. Chem. Phys. Lett. 1997, 266, 116.
(b) Hasegawa, Y.; Ohkubo, T.; Sogabe, K.; Kawamura, Y.; Wada, Y.; Nakashima, N.; Yanagida, S. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2000, 39, 357.

(9) (a) Beeby, A.; Dickins, R. S.; Faulkner, S.; Parker, D.; Williams, J. A. G. *Chem. Commun.* 1997, 1401. (b) Horrocks, W. D., Jr.; Bolender, J. P.; Smith, W. D.; Supkowski, R. M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1997, 119, 5972.
(c) Werts, M. H. V.; Woudenberg, R. H.; Emmerink, P. G.; van Gassel, R.; Hofstraat, J. W.; Verhoeven, J. W. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* 2000, 39, 4542.

(10) (a) Werts, M. H. V.; Hofstraat, J. W.; Geurts, F. A. J.; Verhoeven, J. W. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **1997**, *276*, 196. (b) Shavaleev, N. M.; Pope, S. J. A.; Bell, Z. R.; Faulkner, S.; Ward, M. D. *Dalton Trans.* **2003**, 808.

(11) Placidi, M. P.; Villaraza, A. J. L.; Natrajan, L. S.; Sykes, D.; Kenwright, A. M.; Faulkner, S. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2009**, *131*, 9916.

(12) Ward, M. D. Coord. Chem. Rev. 2007, 251, 1663.

(13) (a) Yuan, Y.-F.; Cardinaels, T.; Lunstroot, K.; Van Hecke, K.; Van Meervelt, L.; Görller-Walrand, C.; Binnemans, K.; Nockemann, P. *Inorg. Chem.* **2007**, *46*, 5302. (b) Klink, S. I.; Keizer, H.; van Veggel, F. C. J. M. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2000**, *39*, 4319.

(14) Jauregui, M.; Perry, W. S.; Allain, C.; Vidler, L. R.; Willis, M. C.; Kenwright, A. M.; Snaith, J. S.; Stasiuk, G. J.; Lowe, M. P.; Faulkner, S. *Dalton Trans.* **2009**, 6283.

(15) Casas-Solvas, J. M.; Ortiz-Salmerón, E.; Giménez-Martínez, J. J.; García-Fuentes, L.; Capitán-Vallvey, L. F.; Santoyo-González, F.; Vargas-Berenguel, A. *Chem.—Eur. J.* **2009**, *15*, 710.

(16) Dickins, R. S.; Parker, D.; Bruce, J. I.; Tozer, D. J. Dalton Trans. 2003, 2003, 1264.

(17) Beeby, A.; Clarkson, I. M.; Dickins, R. S.; Faulkner, S.; Parker, D.; Royle, L.; Sousa, A. S.; Williams, J. A. G.; Woods, M. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2 1999, 1999, 493.

(18) Christensen, K. M.; Bakac, A.; Espenson, J. H. Chem. Phys. 1993, 176, 359.

(19) Hall, C. D.; Sharpe, N. W. J. Photochem. Photobiol., A 1991, 56, 255.

(20) Gray, H. B.; Sohn, Y. S.; Hendrickson, N. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1971, 93, 3603.

(21) The emission was measured at 616 nm. The cycle number is limited only by solution evaporation.

(22) Beeby, A.; Faulkner, S.; Williams, J. A. G. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 2002, 1918.